

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

DANNY and JOANN SINCLAIR,

Plaintiffs,

Civil No. 05-3003-CO
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

v.

GIOVE LAW OFFICE, P.C.,
et al.,

Defendants.

COONEY, Magistrate Judge:

On June 10, 2005, plaintiffs were ordered to show in writing by June 24, 2005 why their case should not be dismissed for want of prosecution. Plaintiffs did not respond. Based on the foregoing it is recommended that plaintiffs' case be dismissed for want of prosecution and judgment be entered dismissing this case.

This recommendation is not an order that is immediately
appealable to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. Any notice of
appeal pursuant to Rule 4(a)(1), Federal Rules of Appellate
Procedure, should not be filed until entry of the district
court's judgment or appealable order. **The parties shall have**
ten days from the date of service of a copy of this
recommendation within which to file specific written objections
with the Court. Thereafter, the parties have ten days within
which to file a response to the objections. Failure to timely
file objections to any factual determinations of the Magistrate
Judge will be considered a waiver of a party's right to de novo
consideration of the factual issues and will constitute a waiver
of a party's right to appellate review of the findings of fact
in an order or judgment entered pursuant to the Magistrate
Judge's recommendation.

DATED this ____29____ day of June, 2005.

_____/s/_____
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE